



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,711	07/21/2003		Daniel W. Green	P04239C1	4916
23990	7590	08/16/2004		EXAMINER	
DOCKET (MAI, TAN V		
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dilberto, I	7. 75500			2124	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,711	GREEN, DANIEL W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tan V Mai	2124	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/21/	<u>03 & 12/1/03</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	* *	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/1/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
6. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,711

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because phraseology language is used in this paragraph (i.e., "comprises"). See MPEP ' 608.01(b).
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guttag et al (Applicant admission Prior Art, Reference AC).

Guttag et al disclose, e.g., see Fig. 12, the invention substantially as claimed, including: a multiplier having 2 "columns" parallel partial generators. It is noted that Guttag et al do not specifically detail the claimed "first (second) summation array circuit comprising a ... plurality of adders"; however, the feature is old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Guttag et al's teachings because the reference discloses a multiplier as claimed.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yano et al (Applicant admission Prior Art, Reference AE).

Yano et al disclose, e.g., see Fig. 5, the invention substantially as claimed, including: a multiplication circuit having two parallel multipliers (MA11 & MA12). It is noted that Yano et al do not specifically detail the claimed "first (second) summation

Application/Control Number: 10/623,711

Art Unit: 2124

array circuit comprising a ... **plurality of adders**"; however, the feature is old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Yano et al=s teachings because the reference discloses a multiplication circuit as claimed.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosshart (Applicant admission Prior Art, Reference AB).

Bosshart discloses, e.g., see Fig. 1, the invention substantially as claimed, including: a multiplier having adders (18, 20). It is noted that Bosshart does not specifically detail the claimed "first (second) summation array circuit comprising a ... plurality of adders"; however, the feature is old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Bosshart's teachings because the reference discloses a multiplier as claimed.

6. This is a Continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/477,487. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/623,711

Art Unit: 2124

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER